



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,847	01/30/2004	Peter Williamson	003797.00737	4097
27195	7590	11/14/2008	EXAMINER	
AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP			VAUGHN, GREGORY J	
127 Public Square				
57th Floor, Key Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2178	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@the patentattorneys.com
hholmes@the patentattorneys.com
lpasterchek@the patentattorneys.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/767,847	WILLIAMSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GREGORY J. VAUGHN	2178	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GREGORY J. VAUGHN. (3) _____.

(2) Bhavani S. Rayaprolu (applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hawkins et al., US Patent 6,493,464 and Forcier, US Patent 6,499,043.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and applicant's representative discussed the claimed invention in view of the cited prior art of record. Agreement with respect to the claims was not reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Gregory J. Vaughn/ Examiner, Art Unit 2178	/Stephen S. Hong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178
--	---